A Study of the Campeyya Jātaka, Including Remarks on the Text of the Saṅkhapāla Jātaka

There is an obvious historical problem in the textual criticism of the Campeyya Jātaka (no. 506, Ja IV 454–68). Although it has been transmitted in forty-four gāthās, it is found in the Vīsati-nipāta, which indicates that the original version of the Jātaka comprised about twenty gāthās. This is clearly evident when it is compared with the other Jātakas of this nipāta. This fact alone would be enough to show that the Jātaka has been revised and extended as it has been handed down. What follows is an attempt to trace the textual history of this nāga Jātaka, also taking into account the Mahāvastu version (Mvu II 181–88), although it

An earlier version of this article was first published in German under the title "Eine Studie des Campeyya-Jātaka (mit textkritischen Bemerkungen zum Sankhapāla-Jātaka)" in *Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Südasiens*, Vol. XXXIV (1990), pp. 79–106. Translated by Marianne Rankin.

Prof. Oskar von Hinüber (O.v.H.) not only offered valuable comments on an earlier version of this essay, but was also kind enough to make two Thai manuscripts available to me. For this I am most grateful to him and to the Social Research Institute of the University of Chiang Mai. I would also like to thank my friend Dr Chlodwig H. Werba (Ch.W.) for all his advice and suggestions for improvement. In the following, arabic numerals refer to the gāthās of the Campeyya Jātaka according to the Fausbøll edition, roman numerals to those gāthās which according to our investigation belong to the original version of the Campeyya Jātaka.

¹These are composed of between twenty-four and thirty-one gāthās. The Sivi Jātaka has thirty-one gāthās (twenty-three ślokas and eight triṣṭubh/jagatī). Alsdorf, who has worked on this Jātaka, came to the conclusion that "there are *two* treatments of this popular narrative: one in tr./jag. and one in ślokas, and the editors of the Jātaka Book — or even an earlier poet — combined these two versions into *one*" (Alsdorf 1968b, p. 478 (= *Kl. Sch.* p. 364)). Apart from this Jātaka, only the Mahāpalobhana Jātaka (no. 507) has more than twenty-nine gāthās (thirty gāthās). But there, several gāthās give the impression of being later additions (see gāthās 1–4 and 6), so that one can start with the assumption that originally this Jātaka was also correctly incorporated into the Vīsati Nipāta.

is quite corrupt and comprises forty-six verses.² For this the Sankhapāla Jātaka (Ja V 161–77),³ which has various gāthās in common with the Campeyya Jātaka,⁴ will frequently be considered. The prose text (Ja IV.456,27f.) explicitly refers to it,⁵ which should be particularly interesting for the history of the text.

The content of the Campeyya Jātaka tale is briefly as follows: A nāga [named Campeyya, who has left his underwater dwelling in order to keep the uposatha on a termite mound] allows himself to be caught [by a snake charmer (ahigunthika)] without any resistance, in order not to break his uposatha vow. [By means of sorcery, the snake charmer makes the nāga perform tricks in front of paying spectators. At first he had intended to let the nāga go free as soon as he earned 1,000 pieces of gold in this way. But instead, tempted by the possibility of making easy money, he goes to Benares to the court of King Uggasena. Sumanā, the wife of the nāga, is very worried by the lengthy absence of her husband. When she finds out that the nāga has been captured by a snake charmer,

she goes to Benares and arrives in the middle of the performance at court.] She begs the king to ransom her husband. But the word of the king is all that is required for the snake charmer to set the nāga free. In gratitude, the nāga asks permission to show the king his underwater palaces, and repeatedly swears that he has no evil intentions toward his rescuer. Eventually the king agrees and, accompanied by the nāga, visits his dwelling place. Amazed at the splendour, the king wants to know why the nāga keeps uposatha. He explains that it is only as a human that he would have the chance to escape the cycle of saṃsāra. Showered with gifts, the king returns to the human world.

The text of the Campeyya Jātaka, as we have it, is a mixture of 34½ triṣṭubhs and 9½ ślokas. Now Alsdorf, in his various masterly studies of individual Jātakas, repeatedly worked out the relationship between these two metres and showed that when triṣṭubh and śloka verses appear together, it is usually the śloka gāthās which have been added subsequently. Thus, in the case of the Campeyya Jātaka, the śloka gāthās will be examined first with regard to their place in the original gāthā collection of the Jātaka.

The Jātaka opens with two śloka gāthās⁹ of which some single pādas have parallels in other Jātakas ("floating $p\bar{a}das$ "). So 1ab ($k\bar{a}$ nu

²The following twenty-two verses (gāthās of the Jātaka numbered according to Fausbøll) correspond as follows: Ja gāthā I = Mvu II 181,5*-8*; 2 = 181,10*-11*; 3 = 181,13*-16*; 4 = 181,18*-21*; 5 b/d = 182,9*/6*; 6 = 182,1*-4*; 7ab = 182.11*-12*; 8 = 183,12*-13*; 9 = 183,2*-5*; 10 = 183,7*-10*; 11/12ab = 183,15*-17*; 13ab = 183,19*-20*; 14 = 184,1*-3*; 15a = 184,18*; 15d = 185,9*; 16 = 185,3*-6*; 19ab = 184,15*-16*; 19cd \neq 184,6*-8*; 20ab = 184,10*-11*; 20d = 184,13*(185,2*); 23 = 185,11*-14*; 24 = 186,1*-4*; 30f = 187,23*; 31ac = 187,20*-21*; 36cd = 188,1*-2*; 39 = 188,2*-5*; 43 = 187,11*-14*.

³A list of suggestions for correction of the text of this Jātaka, which is corrupt in many places, is printed in an appendix to this article.

⁴Noted by Alsdorf 1977, p. 30, n. 21 (= *Kl. Sch.*, p. 790 n. 21).

⁵It also refers to the Bhūridatta Jātaka, with which the Campeyya Jātaka has g. 37a (= Ja VI 171,3*) and g. 31a (= Ja VI 171,7*) in common. That this is worthy of note is also shown by the Culladhammapāla Jātaka (Ja III 177–82), which is a "clumsy, coarse imitation of the Kṣāntivādij (named in the prose story)" (Alsdorf 1968a, p. 266).

⁶Parts of the story which come from the prose text are set in square brackets. A detailed table of contents is to be found in Vogel 1926, pp. 151–53 (reference from O.v.H.). A complete translation is to be found in Grünwedel 1897, pp. 83–89.

⁷"There can certainly be no question of the śloka as such being more recent than ... [the] tr[iṣṭubh], so that any śloka should be regarded as later than any tr. because of its metre; but the śloka remains 'modern', and it becomes the most common metre, as the tr. becomes less fashionable, so that it finds ... a role as successor to the tr." (Alsdorf 1971, pp. 29f. (= Kl. Sch. pp. 386f.)). Cf. Alsdorf 1968b, p. 478 (= Kl. Sch. p. 364) and Sakamoto-Goto 1984, pp. 46 and 64, n. 58.

⁸Cf. also Alsdorf 1957a, p. 202 (*Kl. Sch.*, p. 186): "The bulk of both these texts consists of tristubh verses, and it might be worthwhile to examine at the outset all the non-tristubh stanzas with a view to ascertaining whether they are 'original' or whether there are grounds to justify the natural suspicion that they are secondary additions."

⁹Cf. Mvu II 181,5*, 8*, 10*-11*: kā nu vidyud ivābhāsi *usarā viya tārakā | ... devī asi vā gandharvī na tvam asi hi mānuṣī || nāham devī na gandharvī na mahārāja mānuṣī | nāgakanyāham bhadran te *arthinī iha āgatā ||. According

119

vijju-r-ivābhāsi osadhī viya tārakā)¹⁰ is found in the Alambusā Jātaka (Ja V 155,16*), which is completely composed in ślokas. 11 Gāthā Ic (devatā nu si gandhabbī), has parallels in Ja V 260,5*, 317,4*, VI 13,13* (devatā nu si gandhabbo), where each time the answer given in 2a (n'amhi devo na gandhabbo) also follows (Ja V 260,7*, 317,8*; VI 13,16*).

Thomas Oberlies

These two ślokas are clearly an example of the tendency of the Jātaka redactors to clarify exactly who is speaking or acting and to explain their motives. This led, probably before the addition of the two ślokas, to gāthā 3 having another tristubh¹² inserted, which undoubtedly originated in the Sattubhasta Jātaka (no. 402). 13 Gāthās 2d, 3cd and 11-12ab may be compared with the almost literally identical gāthās 1−3 of the Junha Jātaka (Ja IV 97.8*-28*).

The reason for the naga wife's trust as she turns to the king with her request is explained in śloka gāthā 8, the first pāda of which has a parallel in Ja IV 320,8*, and the second pada of which was a very popular set piece (see note 11 and CPD under āmutta-; both together as pādas a and d in Ja V 259,15*-16*: solasitthisahassāni sabbālamkārabhūsitā | vicitrahatthābharaṇā āmuttamaṇikundalā ||). 14

In the 1½ ślokas 11–12ab the type and amount of the "ransom" to be offered for the freedom of the naga are presented in more detail than in gāthā 9.15 These verses come from the Rohantamiga Jātaka, which is

to Senart's text, the Mvu reads sarasi viya tārakāh. Lüders (1954: §83) based on Senart's mss reconstructs the "basic text" of the Mahāvastu as osalī viya tālakā (> usalā viya tālakā > usarā viya tārakā (thus mss BC II 181,5*; the "visarga" of tārakāh is merely a punctuation mark)), where osalī corresponds to Skt. auşarī; osalī tālakā, that is, "the morning star". Earlier, Charpentier (1909, p. 35) read usarā viya tārakāh with mss BC. Moreover, he conjectured that Mvu II 181,8* should read na tvam manyāmi mānusīm, for which he refers to mss BC (na te anyāni $m\bar{a}^{\circ}$). For the conjecture *arthinī instead of $av\bar{i}c\bar{i}$ as transmitted, cf. Jones 1952, p. 175, n. 7.

¹⁰The first pāda is closely connected with the prose story. For there it is said that the naga's wife, searching for her husband, appears at the king's court floating in the air (ākāse ... atthāsi, Ja IV 459,8). The comparison of the nāgī with a bright flash of lightning presumably gave rise to this passage in the story.

¹¹ It is interesting that, only a few gathas earlier (and also in the Alambusa Jātaka (V 154,19*)), the characterization of a person as uggateja is found (cf. Campeyya Jātaka, g. 4). Moreover, Alambusā Jātaka 14d (āmuttamanikundalā) may be compared with Campeyya Jātaka, g. 8b. Further Jātaka instances of the comparison [kā nu vijju-r-ivābhāsi] osadhī viva tārakā are recorded by Lüders 1954, §83.

¹²vibbhantacittā kupitindriyāsi, nettehi te vāriganā savanti | kin te naṭṭham kim pana patthayānā, idhāgatā nāri tad ingha brūhi ||. Cf. Mvu II 181,13*-16*: *vibhrāntacittā vilutendriyāsi, netrehi te vāri śravanti kin te | nastam hi kimcī abhiprārthayantī, ihāgatā *dāni na dīrgham brūhi || (Senart 1890: pāda a citrāntacittā [see Edgerton 1953 s.v. viluta; on the confusion of c/v and t/bh in Nepalese manuscripts see Regamey 1954, p. 517; cf. Charpentier 1909. p. 36], pāda d tāni).

¹³The gāthā appears (with masculine forms) in the Sattubhasta Jātaka (Ja III 344,19*-22*), where pāda d however reads idhāgamā brahme tad ingha brūhi. The Sattubhasta Jātaka has eight gāthās but is in the Satta-nipāta, so it originally comprised only seven gathas. It is definitely gatha 5 (Ja III 348,2*-5*) which was added later. The first gatha (vibbhantacitto ...) thus belongs to the original Sattubhasta Jātaka and may have been taken into the Campeyya Jātaka from there.

¹⁴solas'-itthisahassāni āmuttamanikundalā | vārigehāsayā nārⁱyo [/nārī] tā pi tam saranam gatā II (8a: S oitthīo; 8c: Sa vārigehe sayā nārī, L 1471 nārī, CB Sp °gehasayā nārī). — Mvu II 183,12*f.: sodaśa strīsahasrāni āmuktamanikundalā | vārivāsagrhāśritā †nāryo tvām śaranam *gatā || (Senart 1890: ārva tvām śaranāgatā; to be corrected with ms B (Charpentier 1909, p. 38)). The prose text of the Mvu version also recounts that the senior wife of the nāga appears at the court of King Ugrasena in Benares accompanied by 16,000 women (Mvu II 178,18-20).

¹⁵dammi nikkhasatam ludda thullañ ca manikundalam | catussadañ ca pallankam ummāpupphasirinnibham || II || dve ca sādisiyo bhariyā usabhañ ca gavam satam | ossatthakāyo urago carātu, puññatthiko muccatu bandhanasmā | 12 | (11b: BS thūlañ; 11c: S caturassañ ca, B umāpupphasarinnibham, S ummārapupphasannibham; 12d: ESB L 1471 muñcatu, C muccatu). — Mvu II 183,15*-17*: demi niskasatam lubdha sthūlā ca manikundalā | catuhśatam ca paryankam dāmakapuspasannibham | bhāryām ca sadršīdevīm mucyatu uragādhipah || (Senar 1890t: pāda a: labdham; pāda c: Charpentier 1909, p. 39, reads catuhsadañ ca; Jones 1952, p. 177, n. 3, *catutsada (< catuhutsada; cf. Pāli cat-ussada- "four-cornered"). Whether this translation of *catutsada- is correct, however, is questionable, as the

120

composed entirely in ślokas (Ja IV 422,4*-6*). Two tristubh pādas 12 cd (= 9cd = 10cd = 13cd), repeated once again, complete these gāthās.

For the textual criticism of śloka gāthā 14¹⁶ it is sufficient to refer to Alsdorf's observation, "[an] example, so frequently observed in the Jātaka, of the gradual versification of parts of the story originally left in prose (in particular indications of who is speaking)."17 Moreover, pādas cde = Ja VI 82,3*/5* (cf. 88,25*).

Finally, śloka gāthās 25-28 merely bring forward the older description of the palace composed in tristubhs (see below, gāthās 30-35). In content and choice of words, they are so exactly modelled on the following tristubh gāthās 30-35 that there can hardly be doubt about their secondary nature.

A peculiarity common to gāthās 14 and 25-28 is the citation of names (Kāsirājan, Kāsivaddhana, 18 Campeyya 19) which also includes

adjective catussada- at Ja IV 309,26* is an epithet of grāma- (catussadam grāmavaram samiddham). In Āryaśūra's Jātakamālā the corresponding verse is catuhśatam grāmavaram samrddham (113,8*), which shows that the word was apparently no longer understood very early on (see also Kern 1891, p. 247; Speyer 1895, p. 160, n. 2).

gāthās 24²⁰ (Uggasena²¹) and 29 (Kāsirājan), but differentiates all these gāthās from those of the original Jātaka. That the king who frees the captured naga is the King of Kasī seems to be picked out of the last gāthā by the redactor (bārānasim nagaram iddhaphītam, rajjañ ca kārehi ...). Where the name Campeyya (gāthās 26–28) or Campeyyaka (gāthās 14 and 30) comes from, I cannot say. The composer of the prose, however, derives the name by adding the suffix -eyya-²² to the name of the River Campā,²³ where according to the prose (Ja IV 454,11f.) the dwelling place of the naga is to be found. These facts seem to come merely from the wish of the prose writer to localize the story and to give the main characters names.

A Study of the Campeyya Jātaka

It may be said with some degree of certainty that the 9½ śloka gāthās and tristubh gāthās 3, 12cd, 24, and 29 were not among the

nāma natthi) and Papañcasūdanī II 617 (Ce = Ps (Ee) III 91,2 (Malalasekera 1937, p. 857). I would simply like to add the reference at Vism Chapter 9, § 33 (campeyyo pi nāgarājā hutvā ahitundikena vihethiyamāno manopadosamattam pi na uppādesi | yathāha ... (Cariyāpiṭaka 85-86)). Lüders has shown (1941, pp. 136ff.), that the titles in the Jataka collection are recent and partly based on a misunderstanding of the text (cf. also particularly Mehendale 1970, pp. 125-29).

¹⁶mutto campeyyako nāgo rājānam etad-abravi | namo te kāsirāj' atthu namo te kāsivaddhana | añjalin te paganhāmi passeyyam me nivesanam || (of note is passeyyam, which in fact means "I would like to see". Read *passedam ("See this palace of mine"); I wish to thank Prof. A. Wezler for suggesting this conjectured reading). Cf. Mvu II 184,1*-3*; mukto campako nāgo kāśirājānam bhāsati [prose] | namo te kāśinām rāja namo te kāśivardhana | añjalin te pragrhnāmi paśya rāja mo niveśanam II (Is the prose line Mvu II 184, to be corrected to a śloka: mukto *campeyyako nāgo? Or is this a case where "the prose of the Mahāvastu adapts the hieratic form of words to a vrttagandhi" (Smith 1953, p. 121)? Manuscript L 1471 of the Pāli Jātaka also often reads "Campaka" (g. 26b, 27d, 28b)).

¹⁷Alsdorf 1971, p. 29 (= *Kl. Sch.*, p. 386). Cf. Oldenberg 1918, p. 440 (= *Kl.* Sch., p. 1080).

¹⁸25b: SB L 1471 °vaddhano, EC °vaddhano.

¹⁹Manuscript L 1471 in g. 26–28 always reads "Campaka" (see n. 16). Mvu also offers this form of the name, but only in the prose (e.g. Mvu II 177,14, 184,1). The title of the (Campevya) Jātaka is referred to at Ja I 45,20 (tathā ... campeyyanāgarājakāle ... sīlapāramitāya pūritattabhāvānam parimānam

²⁰bherīmutingā panavā ca sankhā, *āvajjum [/*avajjimsu] uggasenassa rañño | pāvāsi rājā bahu sobhamāno, purakkhato nāriganassa majjhe || (24b: E āvajjayimsu, B avajjayimsu, C āvajjisum, Cks S L 1471 āvajjimsu, Nālanda avajjayimsu, S uggasenarañño). Cf. Mvu II 186,1*-4*: bherī mrdangā patahāś ca samkhā, vādyensu venū ugrasenarājño | niryāti rājā mahatā balena, puraskrto nāriganasya madhye || and Harivamśa 94,14: vasudevam puraskrtya bherīśankharavaih saha lugraseno yayau rājā vāsudevaniveśanam II — The verb forms *ăvajjum, ăvajjimsu (forms with \bar{a}° are most probably wrong readings) and avajjayimsu, which CPD does not refer to (under avajj° (so g. 24a in E)), are agrists of the passive of the causative vādeti (avajjiyimsu (so read) seems to be a double passive (on which see von Hinüber 2001, § 458): $v\bar{a}dya^{\circ} > vajja^{\circ} \rightarrow avajj-iy-imsu$).

²¹Otherwise only referred to in the prose (Ja IV 458,13, 467,23, 468,22; cf. Mvu II 177,9, 178,19f., 179,6). The Harivamsa passage 94,14, cited in the precding footnote, may be compared in particular.

²²By intensification (von Hinüber 2001, § 213) from Old Indic -eya-.

²³Malalasekera (1937, p. 857) under "⁴ Campā" notes only this passage.

original gāthās of the Jātaka. None of these verses is necessary for the development of the story told in the Campeyya Jātaka. They depict more fully certain details which were only sketched in the original Jātaka (gāthās 1–3, worry and grief of the nāga wife); they explain other points (gāthā 8, the nāga wife finds refuge with the king; gāthās 11, 12, ransom money; 25–29, description of the palace); indicate who is speaking (gāthās 14, 30ab), or give the story a local, personal setting (gāthās 24, 29). If they are left out, a cogent plot remains, free of unnecessary repetition.

In the fourth gāthā there is a verse which fulfils all the requirements of the first gāthā of a Jātaka. Apart from the general introduction of the theme ("The Capture of the Nāga"), the identity of the speaker (the wife of the nāga) and her motives (a plea for the release of the nāga) are clearly indicated.

I-4 yam uggatejo urago ti cāhu, nāgo ti tam āha jano janinda l tam aggahī puriso jīvikattho, tam bandhanā muñca patī mam' eso ||24

The one who is also (ca) called the snake of powerful energy, the people call nāga, O king. He was caught by a man who is making a living from him. Release him from captivity. He is my husband.

The characterization of the nāga as uggateja- elicits a question from the king which is posed in similar form in gāthā 34 of the Saṅkhapāla Jātaka (5b = Ja V 172,16*), where the snake had earlier been described

as $mah\bar{a}nubh\bar{a}va$ - "of great power" and tejassin- "possessing fiery power".²⁵

II-5 katham nvayam balaviriyūpapanno, hatthattham āgañchi vanibbakassa l akkhāhi me nāgakaññe tam attham, katham vijānemu gahītanāgam ll²⁶

How then did this creature endowed with strength and power fall into the hands²⁷ of a beggar?²⁸ Tell me that, nāga girl. How could I have recognized [him] as a captured nāga?²⁹

The answer to this question gives a motive, which, as Alsdorf showed (1977, p. 29f. = Kl. Sch., pp. 789f.), is repeated in various nāga Jātakas, including the Saṅkhapāla Jātaka (gāthā 37). As "venerator of the Dhamma of the Righteous" the nāga was keeping the uposatha on a

²⁴4b: ES^p āhu jano (S^a (āhu) manussaloke instead of jano janinda; cf. Ja V 137,27* maghavā ti nam āhu manussaloke), L 1471 āha jano, C B āhu janā. Cf. Mvu II 181,19* nāgo ti nam āhu janā janendra. E S^p āhu jano may be compared with Ja VI 336,17* (alikam bhāsati [yam] dhuttī saccam āhu mahallikā || (grammatical cty.: āhū ti āha katheti | ayam eva vā-pāṭho)) where the singular in pāda I suggests that the same be assumed in pāda 2 as well. Cf. Norman 1969, p. 136, on Th 57, ayam āhu purāṇiyā kuṭi (see CPD s.v. āha ("wrongly taken = ahosi, Th-a")); on a similar case in the Mahāvastu (Mvu II 96.5*: te dāni ṛṣayo ... rājānam ... uvāca) cf. Leumann and Watanabe 1970, p. 79, n. 638.

²⁵appānubhāvā tam mahānubhāvam, tejassinam hanti atejavanto | kim-eva dāṭhāvudha kim paṭicca, hatthattham āgañchi vanibbakānam || (see below, "Remarks on the text of the Sankhapāla Jātaka", ad g. 34c).

²⁶⁵b: SB āgacchi, C vanibbakassa. — 5c: Should the words be separated as nāgakaññ' etam-attham? Cf. also g. 38c nāgarāje tam attham (= Sankhapāla Jātaka 28c, 30c, 42c (see below, "Remarks on the text of the Sankhapāla Jātaka", ad g. 28c). — Mvu II 182,6*-9*: katham vijāneya grhītanāgo, sa ugratejo balasthāmavanto | durāsado duḥprasaho bhujamgo, hastatvam āgacche vanīpakasya || .

²⁷Compare Edgerton 1953b s.v. hastatva- for the expression hatthattham gacchati (and similar expressions at Ja I 244.10*, III 204.19*, and VI 318.23*). He also gives a reference to CPD ²attha- 2., where Sinhalese -aṭa is compared, citing Geiger, Litt. u. Spr. der Singh., § 40B. With Pāli hatthattham gacchati cf. AMg. hatthajjam āgayā, Utt XIV 45 — another example of "the craving for distinctiveness of Jainas and Buddhists in regard to their terminology" (Meyer, Hindu Tales, pp. 111-12, n. 3).

²⁸See Alsdorf 1977, p. 33, n. 36 (*Kl. Sch.*, p. 793, n. 36), and Edgerton 1953b s.v. *vaṇīpaka*- "beggar". According to the prose version of this Pāli Jātaka, this should be translated as "showman" (O.v.H.).

²⁹Attention should be paid to the syntax of the compound. Cf. Senart's note to the Mvu text (Senart1890, p. 530): "'How can one believe that ...'. As for *gṛhītanāgo*, judging by *nigṛhītanāgo*, line 4 on the following page, *gṛhīta* is to be understood literally in the sense of *nigṛhīta*: 'who has suppressed the nāga', that is, 'who has concealed his strength and appearance as a nāga'".

termite mound (according to the prose version, 460,20),³⁰ where he was eventually taken captive.³¹

III-6 nagaraṃ pi nāgo bhasmaṃ kareyya, tathā hi so balavirⁱyūpapanno l dhammañ ca nāgo apacāyamāno, tasmā parakkamma tapo karoti ll³²

The nāga could reduce a [whole] town to ashes, he is so strong and powerful; but out of reverence for the Dhamma he resolutely practises tapas.³³

IV-7 cātuddasim paṇṇarasiñ ca rāja, catuppathe sammati nāgarājā l tam aggahī puriso jīvikattho, tam bandhanā muñca patī mam' eso ||34
 On the fourteenth and fifteenth [days³⁵ of the half-month] the nāga king stayed at a crossroads, O king. There he was captured by a man, who is [thus] earning his living. Release him from captivity. He is my husband.

In the following gāthā the wife of the nāgā expresses her plea for the release of her husband from captivity and for him to be treated without violence — to match the nāga's own behaviour. V-9 dhammena mocehi asāhasena, gāmena nikkhena gavaṃ satena lossatthakāyo urago carātu, puññatthiko muccatu bandhanasmā ||36

In accordance with the Dhamma, release him without violence by means of [the gift of] a village, gold jewellery [or] of a hundred cows. The snake should leave, having lowered his body.³⁷ He who [after all only] wanted to gain merit, should be released from captivity.

In the next gāthā (VI–10) the king agrees to this request in the same words (a principle of "oral poetry").³⁸ As indicated above, both the following verses (11, 12) were added later only to give more details of the extent of the ransom.

VII-13 vināpi dānā tava vacanam janinda,

muñcemu nam uragam bandhanasmā l ossatthakāyo urago carātu, puññatthiko muccatu bandhanasmā ll³⁹

Even without a gift, O king, we will release this snake from captivity on the strength of your word. 40 ...

³⁰Cf. Ja IV 330,3*-6*, anujjagāmi uraga (d)dujivha, dāṭhāvudho ghoraviso si sappa | khudaṃ pipāsaṃ adhivāsayanto, kasmā bhavaṃ posathiko nu dīgho, and Ja VI 174,32*, uposathaṃ upavasanto semi vammikamuddhani (cf. Alsdorf 1977, p. 29 (= Kl. Sch., p. 789)).

³¹Cf. Ja V 172,25*–28*, cātuddasiṃ *paṇṇarasiñ c'aḷāra, uposathaṃ niccaṃ-upāvasāmi | athāgamuṃ soḷasa bhojaputtā, rajjuṃ gahetvāna daḷhañ ca pāsaṃ ||

³²⁶a: nagaram pi (≅ - ¸); see Smith 1949, p. 1151. Pāda d = 36d (= Ja V 173,12*). Mvu II 18,1*-4*: nagaram pi nāgo bhasmīkareyā, tathā hi yāvac ca balopapeto | dharmam tu nāgo *apacāyamāno, hastatvam āgacche vanīpakasya ||; Senart 1890, pāda c: ayam yācamāno (cf. Charpentier 1909, p. 37, and Jones 1952, p. 176, n. 2).

³³For the translation cf. Alsdorf 1977, p. 33 (= *Kl. Sch.*, p. 793).

³⁴⁷a: E pannarasiñ ca, Sª cātuddasī paṇṇarasī ca rājā, SP C paṇṇarasiñ ca, B L 1471 pañcadasim (cf. on this vo Hinüber 2001, § 402). Cf. Mvu II 182,11*-14*: caturdaśīm pañcadaśīm ca aṣṭamīm, catuṣpathe gacchati nāgarājo | osṛṣṭakāyo vicaranto nāgo, hastatvam āgacche vaṇīpakasya || (cf. Thī 3 I cātuddasī pañcaddasī yā calva pakkhassa atthamī with pāda a).

³⁵Here, the day is surely meant (cf. *uposathadivasa*-) because the laity keep the uposatha during the day by fasting, etc.

³⁶9d: E SB L 1471 muñcatu, C muccatu. Cf. Mvu II 183,2*-5*: dharmeṇa mocehi asāhasena, grāmeṇa niṣkena ca gośatena | osṛṣṭakāyo nigṛhītanāgo, punyārthiko mucyatu nāgarājo ||

³⁷ossaṭṭhakāya- seems to denote the non-aggressive posture of snakes which have "lowered their bodies". As a peaceful attitude is appropriate for someone practising the *uposatha*, snakes are described thus when celebrating this day (cf. S III 241,15: ko nu kho bhante hetu ko paccayo yena-m-idh' ekacce aṇḍajā nāgā uposatham upavasanti ossaṭṭhakāyā ca bhavantī ti). I am indebted to Prof. Dr Albrecht Wezler for this explanation.

³⁸Here too read muccatu with C (E SB L 1471 muñcatu). Mvu II 183,7*-10*: ... moceṣyam, ... oṣṛṣṭakāyo ca bhujaṃgo gacchatu, prīto ca saṃpadyatu nāgarājā ||

^{39 13}a: hypermetric pāda, in view of L 1471 vināpi dānena tava and C^k tha for tava perhaps read vināpi dānā te vacanam janinda (~ ~ ~ - - | - ~ ~ | - ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ , typically hypermetric because of the deferred cæsura (O.v.H.)). 13b: S^a L 1471 muñcemi; 13d: C muccatu, E SB L 1471 muñcatu. — Mvu II 183.19*-22*: vinā tu dānā vacanān narendra, muñcām' imaṃ dhārmiko nāgarājā | mahānubhāvo paralokadarśī, mahābalo so ca na saṃviheṭhyo ||

⁴⁰Cf. Alsdorf 1977, p. 30, n. 23 (= Kl. Sch., p. 790, n. 23): "vinā pi dānā tava vacanam nar' inda (g. 13): according to Mvu II 183,19* vinā tu dānā vacanān narendra, ECSB vacanam is to be corrected to nā (or abl. -am?)" For the

It emerges clearly from gāthā 15 that on his release, the snake invites the king to visit his underwater palaces, whereupon the king takes pause for thought, explaining that a man should not in fact trust a snake. This in turn leads the snake to protest his sincerity in two verses, the first of which appears verbatim in the Mahāsutasoma Jātaka (Ja V 480,15*–18*). The gāthā is thus correctly placed before the two verses of protestation and belongs to the original content of the Jātaka.

VIII-15 addhā hi dubbissāsam etam āhu, yam mānuso vissase amānusamhi | sace ca mam yācasi etam attham, dakkhemu te nāga nivesanāni ||41

In fact they call it misplaced trust for a human to trust a non-human. But if you ask me [now] for that, O nāga, I shall [come with you to] see your dwellings.

As gāthā 18 it comes after the two gāthās of oath, repeated for emphasis.⁴²

IX-16 sace pi vāto girim āvaheyya, cando ca surⁱyo ca chamā pateyyum l sabbā ca najjo paṭisotam vajeyyum na tv-ev' aham rāja musā bhaneyyam ||⁴³ Even if the wind were to carry off a mountain, sun and moon were to fall to earth, and all rivers run backwards, I would not, O king, tell an untruth.⁴⁴

X-17 nabham phaleyya udadhī pi susse, samvaṭṭaye bhūtadharā vasundharā l siluccayo meru samūlam ubbahe,

na tv-ev' aham rāja musā bhaneyyam II45

The sky could burst, the ocean dry up, the creature-carrying earth could coil itself up [and] Mount Meru rip out its own roots, 46 but I, O king, would not tell an untruth.

As regards verses 19–22, there is no doubt that they belong to the old gāthā content of the Jātaka. They build up a picture of the risk which a visit to a nāga represents and show the nāga and his kin as true "venerators of the Dhamma of the Righteous", grateful and true to their word.

XI-19 tumhe kho cettha ghoravisā uļārā, mahātejā khippakopā ca hotha l mama kāraṇā bandhanasmā pamutto, arahasi no jānitum ye katāni ll⁴⁷

ablative singular in -am cf. Sakamoto-Goto 1984, p. 52, n. 32, vo Hinüber 2001, § 304, and Oberlies 2001, p. 144.

⁴¹ 15a: E CB S^p dubbissasam, S^a L 1471 dubbissāsam (cf. PED s.v. vissāsa-) (so also 18a). 15b: all mss = E amānusamhi (to remove the hypermetric syllable, perhaps read vissase 'mānusamhi (suggestion of Ch.W., who furthermore referred me to Rgveda 10.95.8b on the subject of the comparison "human/beast")).

⁴²Indeed, the Siamese edition of the Jātaka-atthavaṇṇanā of A.B. 2471 (1928) does not give this stanza.

⁴³16a: EC sace hi, B B^d (Fausbøll) S L 1471 pi (cf. Mahāsutasoma Jātaka g. 35a (Ja V 480,15*): sace pi vāto ... (cited at Saddanīti 815,6) and Mvu II 185,3*-6*: apy eva vāto girim āvaheya, candro ca sūryo ca kṣitiṃ pateya | sarvā ca nadyo pratiśrotā vahensuḥ, na tv ev' ahaṃ rāja mṛṣā bhaṇeyaṃ ||). 16d: S^a L 1471 tv-evāham.

⁴⁴Compare Ja III 62.18*f.: ambho na kira saddheyyam yam vāto pabbatam vahe | pabbatam ca vahe vāto sabbam pi paṭhavim vahe || "Who could ever believe that the wind | would lift a mountain from the earth? | And yet the wind would sooner carry | the mountain away, even the whole earth ||" (Lüders 1921, p. 218).

⁴⁵¹⁷b: EC saṃvaṭṭayaṃ (read: saṃvaṭṭ' ayaṃ "this [earth] could coil itself up" (?)), S saṃvaṭṭeyya, L 1471 saṃvaṭteyyuṃ (corrected to saṃvaṭṭayye (read: vaṭṭaye)), B saṃvaṭṭaye; 17d: Sa L 1471 tv-evāhaṃ.

⁴⁶I take samūla as Skt. svamūla-, following a suggestion of O.v.H. Pāli ubbahati ("tear out") comes from Old Indic ud-vṛhati, which survives in Prakrit uvvihai (cf. Pischel §489) with the verbal adjective uvvūdha- or uvvūdha- (cf. Wackernagel 1937, p. 833 (= Kl. Sch., p. 415)). Cf. Ja V 240,23* samūlaṃ api abbahe. On the other hand, the commentary explains: evaṃ mahā-sinerupabbato samūlo uṭṭhāya purāṇapaṇṇaṃ viya ākāse pakkhandeyya (Ja IV 462,26f.), taking samūla- to be a bahuvrīhi (= mūlena sahitaḥ) and ubbahe = udvahet (cf. also CPD s.v.).

⁴⁷19a: BCS tumhe khottha, L 1471 tumhe kho cettha (read: khŏ (cf. Alsdorf 1968a, p. 59) or with Fausbøll tumhe 'ttha kho); EBCS^p °kopī, Sa L 1471 °kopā: 19c: B maṃkāraṇā (Fausbøll's mama kāraṇā scans ≃ - ~ -); 19d: L 1471 arahāsī (≃ - ~), E L 1471 jānitaye (read jānitāye), C jānitāye, C^{ks}

You are indeed large, terribly poisonous and of great brilliance; you are also quick to anger.⁴⁸ Through me you have been freed from captivity. I expect you to be grateful to me.

XII-20 so paccataṃ niraye ghorarūpe, mā kāyikaṃ sātam alattha kiñci l peļāya baddho maraṇaṃ upetu, yo tādisaṃ kamma kataṃ na jāne ll⁴⁹

Anyone not grateful for a deed done for him such as this should roast in a terrible hell,⁵⁰ should find no bodily comfort, should die imprisoned in a basket.

XIII-21 saccappaṭiññā tava-m-esa hotu, akkodhano hohi anūpanāhī | sabbañ ca te nāgakulaṃ supaṇṇā, aggiṃ va gimhāsu vivajjayantu ||51 Let this be your true promise. Be free from anger, not contentious. And all your nāga kin shall avoid the supaṇṇas as [men] avoid fire in summer.

XIV-22 anukampasī nāgakulam janinda, mātā yathā suppiyam ekaputtam l ahañ ca te nāgakulena saddhim, kāhāmi veyyāvatikam ulāram ||52

jānitaṃye, B jānituye (to be explained as jānituṃye), S jānitave (on these different infinitives see Oberlies 2001, pp. 263–64). Cf. Mvu II 184.5*-8*: [suduṣkaraṃ nāga mayā kṛtaṃ te], duḥkhāsi tvaṃ bandhanād asi muktaḥ | jāto ca loke na kṛtāni jānati, mā khussa me nāga kṛtaṃ na jāne ||, and Mvu II 184.15*-18*: tuṃhe hi me tīkṣṇaviṣā udārā, mahābalā kṣiprakopā ca nāgā | [nāgāham etaṃ abhiśraddadhāmi], [no tvaṃ amanuṣo manuṣasya kruddho] || (at Mvu II 184.18* read amānuṣo mānuṣasya with mss BC (Charpentier 1909, p. 41)).

You have pity on the nāga kin, O king, like a mother on her only son who is very dear to her. [Therefore,] the nāga kin and I will render you great service. 53

After the king had satisfied himself as to the sincerity of the naga, he gave the order to depart. Pada d, which takes up 15d, argues against elimination of the verse, which must be taken into consideration because similar "instructions" are frequently interpolated by the revisers of the Jatakas.

XV-23 yojentu ve rājarathe sucitte, kambojake assatare sudante | nāge ca yojentu suvaņņakappane, dakkhemu nāgassa nivesanāni ||54

The well-tamed mules from Kamboja should be harnessed to the lovely shining carriage of the king and [also] the elephants, decorated with golden bridles.⁵⁵ We wish to [go to] see the palaces of the nāga.

The following gāthās, which have in part been included verbatim in the Vimānavatthu,⁵⁶ give a typical description of the magnificent dwelling places of the nāgas.⁵⁷ In the course of transmission, the original description of the palace in tristubhs had inserted before it a second description in ślokas, which, in part, verbally reflects the older

⁴⁸Cf. Rāmāyana 4,58.9b tīksnakopā bhujangamāh.

⁴⁹Cf. Mvu II 184,10*-13*: narakasmim jīveya ciram sa *kālam, mā kāyikam kimci labheya sādhu | yo bādhate †pūrvakārisya †rājan, asmādṛśo tuhya kṛtam na jāne || Senart 1890: 17a, kāmam; 17c, pūrvakarisya rājño; cf. however mss BC (Charpentier 1909, p. 40; on †pūrvakārisya, cf. also Edgerton 1953b s.v. pūrvakārin-); 17d: yo tādṛśam karma kṛtam na jāne (cf. Jones 1952, p. 178, n. 2). Charpentier 1909, p. 40, restores pādas cd as follows: yo bādhito pūrvakārisya rājan, asmādṛśo tuhya kṛtam na jāne || and translates: "who — bound to a former benefactor as I am to you, O king — is not grateful?"

⁵⁰Cf. Ja VI 183,2* paccati niraye ghore.

⁵¹²¹b: B anupanāhi; 21d: S aggī va, B gimhesu.

⁵²²²a: BCS a anukampasī (== - -)

⁵³On veyyāvatika- cf. Lüders 1954, § 99.

⁵⁴²³a: L 1471 yojayantu rāja° (=-); 23c: L 1471 suvaṇṇakappake. Cf. Mvu II 185,11*-14*: yujyantu te rājarathā sucitrā, kambojakā aśvavarā sudāntā | hastī ca yujyantu suvarṇacchatrā, drakṣyāmy ahaṃ nāganiveśanāni || Charpentier 1909, p. 41, corrected to *aśvatarā. Cf. Ja IV 395,16* yojentu ve rājarathe with pāda a of the Pāli text (cf. Alsdorf 1957a, p. 203 (= Kl. Sch., p. 187)).

⁵⁵Cf. Ja IV 404,26*-27* (yutte deva rathe dehi ājānīye c'alamkate | nāge dehi mahārāja hemakappanavāsase), V 258,27* (... mātangā hemakappanavāsasā) and Skt. kalpanā- Amarakośa (NS Press) 1551, Daśakumāracarita (ed. M. R. Kāle) 59,7, Jātakamālā 74,9.

⁵⁶Ja 30 ab ≠ Vv 17.1a; Ja 31a ≠ Vv 36.2a; Ja 31b ≠ Vv 64.13c; Ja 32a, 35a ≠ Vv 44.11ab; Ja 33ab = Vv 11.1ab; Ja 34ab = Vv 6.8cd, 8.8cd; Ja 35ab ≠ Vv 44.3cd, 84.32bc.

⁵⁷Cf. Alsdorf 1971, pp. 53–55 (= *Kl. Sch.*, pp. 410–12).

version.⁵⁸ The following 1½ triṣṭubhs (gāthās 29 and 30 ab) could not have belonged to the old gāthā collection either, if the grammar in the case of g. 29 is taken as the only criterion.⁵⁹ Moreover, as is so often found, 30ab merely puts into verse an indication of who is speaking (see above, p. 119).⁶⁰

XVI-30 vimānasetthāni imāni tuyham, ādiccavaṇṇāni pabhassarāni l $\text{n' etādisam atthi manussaloke, kimatthiyam nāga tapo karosi } \parallel^{61}$

These magnificent palaces of yours shine like the sun. There is nothing like this in the world of men. What [then] is your reason for practising asceticism, nāga?

XVII-31 tā kambukāyūradharā suvatthā, (vaṭṭaṅgulī tambatalūpapannā)⁶²
paggayha pāyenti anomavaṇṇā l
n' etādisam atthi manussaloke, kimatthiyam nāga tapo karosi ||⁶³

These beautifully clad [nāga maidens] are wearing bracelets and armlets. (They have [beautiful] rounded fingers, copper-red palms and soles.) [These nāga maidens] of unparalleled beauty offer drinks with outstretched [arms]. There is nothing ...

- XVIII-32 najjo ca khemā puthulomamacchā, adāsakuntābhirudā sutitthā l n'etādisam atthi manussaloke, kimatthiyam nāga tapo karosi ||64 And the rivers are quiet,65 [the home of] broad-scaled fish. Their magnificent banks resound with birds living in freedom. There is nothing ...66
- XIX-33 koñcā mayūrā diviyā ca haṃsā, vaggussarā kokilā saṃpatanti l n' etādisaṃ atthi manussaloke, kimatthiyaṃ nāga tapo karosi ll⁶⁷ Cranes, peacocks and heavenly geese, sweet sounding cuckoos fly [around] together [there]. There is nothing ...⁶⁸
- ambā ca sālā tilakā ca jambuyo, uddālakā pāṭaliyo ca phullā l
 n' etādisaṃ atthi manussaloke, kimatthiyaṃ nāga tapo karosi ll⁶⁹
 Mango, sal, tilaka, and roseapple trees, uddālakas and pāṭalis stand in full bloom. There is nothing ...⁷⁰
- XXI-35 imā ca te pokkharañño samantato, diviyā ca gandhā satataṃ *saṃpavanti l

⁵⁸Cf. 26cd (ādiccavaṇṇupanibhaṃ kaṃsavijjupabhassaraṃ) with 30d (ādiccavaṇṇāni pabhassarāni).

⁵⁹Gāthā 29 can only be translated if a type of "split compound" is assumed (see CPD Epileg. 33* and Oberlies 2001, pp. 122–23): nāgakaññā ... gaṇena for *nāgakaññāgaṇena; see also the explanation of the grammatical commentary Ja IV 465.9. (read nāgakaññāgaṇena caritam with Bd Ba L 1471, or rather -kaññānaṃ gaṇena caritam with Ca Sa (= Ja VI 313.19′-20′)).

⁶⁰The number of lines in a verse should not vary either in a strophic system of metre such as the Indian (Ch.W.).

⁶¹30f: B kim patthayam.

⁶²Pāda b could easily be omitted (Ch.W.). Cf. footnote 60.

⁶³³¹a: L 1471 suvaṇṇā; 31e: B kim patthayam. Cf. Mvu II 187,20*-23*: tvam kañcukāmbaradharo suvastro, tatra yāpento anupamavarņo | divyehi kāmehi samangibhūtaḥ, kimarthaṃ nāga bhuvi tvaṃ caresi || Cf., however, mss BC: °dharā suvastrā, [tatra] yāyanti anopavarnnā |.

⁶⁴³²a: CS L 1471 temā, B te 'mā; 32b: SP L 1471 ādāsakuntā°, Sa ādāsasakuntā° (cty: ādāsasakuntābhirudā ti ādāsasankhātehi sakuņehi), C āṭā sakunīābhirudā (Ca as one word; cty: āṭāsankhātehi sakuņehi abhirudā), B āṭāsakuntā° (cty: āṭāsankhātehi sakuņehi abhirudā) (the āṭā bird is named in the Vessantara Jātaka VI 539,13* (cty: dabbīmukhasakuna) and Cone, under adāsakuntābhirudā and āṭa is inclined to accept āṭāsakuntābhirudā as the correct reading); 32d: B kim patthayam.

⁶⁵Cf. Lüders 1897, pp. 118f., n. 5, where he refers to this passage (Ja IV 466,1*). But in the transmission of the text, the inclusion of *khemā* is problematic. Should we perhaps read *temā* with BCS L 1471 and connect this with *temeti* (see PED s.v.)? Is the meaning: rivers "full of water"? (O.v.H.).

⁶⁶CPD s.v. explains *adāsakunta*- as formed by haplology from **adāsasakunta*-. The correctness of this explanation is doubtful, however, in view of the reading of BCSL (cf. footnote 64; cf. also Bollée 1970, pp. 89f.).

⁶⁷33d: B kim patthayam.

⁶⁸On *koñca-* "crane" see Leslie 1998. Cf. *mayūrakoñcābhiruda-* Ja V 304,24*, VI 483,3* (cf. Alsdorf 1957b, p. 16 (*Kl. Sch.*, p. 285)) and D III 201,22.

⁶⁹³⁴a: jagatī pāda (cadence - ~ - ~ -); 34d: B kim patthayam.

 $^{^{70}}p\bar{a}tal\bar{\iota}$ is the trumpet-flower tree, Stereospermum suaveolens (Cone and Gombrich 1977, p. 97, n. 2).

n' etādisaṃ atthi manussaloke, kimatthiyaṃ nāga tapo karosi \parallel ⁷¹ From all sides, heavenly scents always pervade these lotus pools of yours. There is nothing \dots ⁷²

The following six gāthās (36-41) pose the most difficult textual problem of the Campeyya Jātaka. They are also found as gāthās 40-43 and 50-51 in the Saṅkhapāla Jātaka. Let us look at these gāthās individually.

The question posed six times, kimatthiyam nāga tapo karosi?, definitely requires an answer, so that either gāthā 36 or perhaps gāthā 39 must have followed gāthās 30–35. It is fairly certain that gāthā 38 of the Campeyya Jātaka has been borrowed from the Sankhapāla Jātaka, which is shown by the choice of words of this gāthā (cf. Sankhapāla Jātaka gg. 31b, 34c to mahānubhāva-; cf. Sankhapāla Jātaka g. 28c (see also 30c) to pucchāmi tam nāgarāje tam attham⁷³). This implies that gāthā 39 also originates from the Sankhapāla Jātaka as a necessary answer to the question (seyyo ito kena manussaloko?) posed in gāthā 38. Thus

only gāthā 36 would remain as the "original" answer of the Campeyya Jātaka to the question posed in gg. 30–35.

But the question *kimatthiyaṃ nāga tapo karosi* of gāthā 39 of the Saṅkhapāla Jātaka also requires an answer, so we find ourselves facing a similar textual historical problem there. The fact that the Saṅkhapāla Jātaka with its fifty-two triṣṭubhs⁷⁴ is placed in the Cattālīsa-nipāta shows that, like the Campeyya Jātaka, it was extended by at least three gāthās during revision.

If we look at the last four gāthās of this Jātaka, then it seems clear to me that the original ended with gāthā 48, which answers the question posed in gāthā I (kathaṃ nu vittāni pahāya bhoge, pabbaji ...) in pāda d (saddhāy' ahaṃ pabbajito 'mhi rāja). Gāthās 48cd and 49 also occur in the Theragāthā and Majjhima-nikāya, as follows:

gāthā 48b	Th 782d	M II 73,19, 20
gāthā 48c	Th 787c	M II 73,19, 20
gāthā 48c	Th 787d, 789a	M II 74,7f.
gāthā 49	Th 788	M II 74,9–12

Earlier, the Sankhapāla Jātaka probably ended with gāthā 48, pādas bcd of which belong to the large store of "floating *pādas*."⁷⁵ Subsequently, the three gāthās 49–51 were added, praising Aļāra's decision to live as an ascetic by general maxims, while g. 49 came in naturally because it followed two pādas very similar to g. 48cd in the Majjhima-nikāya and Theragāthā. ⁷⁶

⁷¹35a: jagatī pāda °ñño (S pokkharaññā) samantato (- ~ - ~ -), L 1471 samantā (triṣṭubh pāda - ~ - -); 35b: EC diviyā (C divyā) ca (≅ - ~) gandhā satataṃ saṃpatanti (saṃpatanti is from gāthā 33, where it is in the right place, and has been moved through aberratio oculi (saṃpa- twice)), S dibyā ca (- - ~) ... saṃpavāyanti (L 1471 om. ca), B dibbā ca gandhā satataṃ pavāyanti; the commentary reads 35b: S³ dibyā gandhā ti ... dibyā gandhā pavāyanti. EC³ B³ dibbā ca gandhā ti ... dibbagandhā vāyanti; 35d: B kiṃ patthayaṃ.

⁷²The syntax of the gāthā causes difficulties. As gandhā is the subject (cf. Ja III 91,14* vāti cāyaṃ tato gandho, Ja III 189,14* vāti gandho timirānaṃ, and Mahābhārata 1,175.10 gandho ... pravāyati), pokkharañō must be the accusative dependent on saṃpavanti. Cf. Vv 84.32 (dibbā ca gandhā surabhī pavanti | te saṃpavāyanti idaṃ vimānaṃ ...) and Th 528 (dumāni ... samantato sabbadisā pavanti). But should it not mean "the lotus pools emit heavenly scents"? Should it read: *imāya te pokkharañōo samantato, "around this lotus pool of yours" (samantato with genitive)? Or imā ca te pokkharañōo samantato, *diviye ca *gandhe satataṃ [sam]pavanti "these lotus pools of yours continually waft heavenly scents in all directions"? The grammatical commentary explains: tāsu pokkharaṇīsu satataṃ dibbagandhā vāyanti.

⁷³Perhaps *kāmehi* might be added as well (cf. Sankhapāla Jātaka g. 25d).

⁷⁴In Fausbøll's edition the Jātaka comprises only 51 gāthās. But apart from L 1550 (= E) all the oriental editions of the Sankhapāla Jātaka I have used (as well as Fausbøll's manuscripts B^{ds}) have an additional verse between gāthās 32 and 33 (see below p. 132).

⁷⁵Apart from the parallel places mentioned, there are parallels for g. 48b at A IV 157.7 and M II 73,18f. (asassataṃ vippariṇāmadhammaṃ) and for g. 48c at Ja IV 313,1* and Sn 50c.

⁷⁶On the closing verses of the Jātaka, cf. Oldenberg 1918, pp. 432ff. (= Kl. Sch., pp. 1072ff.)

These considerations point to the conclusion that the composer of the "original" Campeyya Jātaka took gāthās 36–39 from the Saṅkhapāla Jātaka (gg. 40–43), which would by no means be unusual. It is a well-known fact that the Jātaka writers "sometimes, instead of practising original composition, were engaged in a kind of jigsaw puzzle".⁷⁷

Presumably, gāthās 40 and 41, commending the decision of the nāga (*kāhāmi jātimaraṇassa antaṃ*),⁷⁸ also do not belong to the original Campeyya Jātaka. These are "floating stanzas" which were adapted to different contexts (cf. Ja III 306,15*, 16*, 22*–25*, IV 453,15*, 16*, V 478,22*),⁷⁹ but they do not fit well here.

XXII-36 na puttahetu na dhanassa hetu, na āyuno vāpi janinda hetu l manussayonim abhipatthayāno, tasmā parakkamma tapo karomi ||80 Not for a son, not for riches,81 nor for long life, O king,, but because I am striving for rebirth as a human, do I assiduously practise asceticism.

- XXIII-37 tvam lohitakkho vihatantaramso, alamkato kappitakesamassu l surosito lohitacandanena, gandhabbarājā va disā pabhāsasi ll⁸²
 With red eyes, broad back,⁸³ adorned, trimmed hair and beard, you brighten all directions like a Gandhabba king, well rubbed with red sandalwood.
- XXIV-38 deviddhipatto si mahānubhāvo, sabbehi kāmehi samangibhūto l pucchāmi taṃ nāgarāje tam-attham, seyyo ito kena manussaloko ll ⁸⁴

 Divine miraculous powers you have attained [already]. You are powerful. All you have wished for has been given to you. So I ask you, O king of the nāgas, the following: 'How is the world of men better than this [your world]?'
- XXV-39 janinda nāññatra manussalokā, suddhī ca saṃvijjati saṃyamo ca lahañ ca laddhāna manussayoniṃ, kāhāmi jātimaraṇassa antaṃ ll⁸⁵

 Nowhere, O king, but in the human world is there purity and self-discipline. And on attaining rebirth as a human, I shall prepare for an end to birth and death.

Amongst the last three gāthās of the Campeyya Jātaka, only g. 42 causes critical difficulties in the text, but in my opinion they are insurmountable ones. Even the oriental editions offer no variant readings

⁷⁷Alsdorf 1968b, p. 478 (= Kl. Sch., p. 364). See also Alsdorf 1971, p. 52 (Kl. Sch., p. 409).

⁷⁸ Gāthā 40: addhā ha ve sevitabbā sapaññā, bahussutā ye bahuṭhānacintino | nariyo ca [≅ - °] disvāna tavañ ca nāga, kāhāmi puññāni anappakāni ||; 40c: SB L 1550 nāriyo. EC tavañ ca, SP BP tuvañ ca, Ba Sa tvañ ca (on tavaṃ/t(u)vaṃ cf. Trenckner 1879, p. 76 (= 1908, p. 129) and Bollée 1970, p. 93); 40d: E puññani (typographical error). "Certainly the wise are to be honoured, the learned who have wide-ranging knowledge. As I have seen you and the[se] women, o nāga, I will perform many meritorious [deeds]." (On ha ve cf. Caillat 1980, p. 56, n. 64; on pāda b, cf. Ja III 346,20* bahūni ṭhānāni vicintayitvā). — g. 41 addhā ha ve sevitabbā sapaññā, bahussutā ye bahu-ṭhānacintino | nariyo ca [≅ - °] disvāna mamañ ca rāja, karohi puññāni anappakāni || "As you have seen me and the[se] women, o king, perform many meritorious [deeds]." (41c: SB L 1550 nāriyo; 41d: E puṇṇāni (typographical error)).

⁷⁹Pādas ab correspond to Ja IV 453,15*, 16*, V 176,19*, 20*, 26*, 27* (Sankhapāla Ja). To pādās cd cf. Ja III 306,15*, 16*, 24*, 25*; V 176,21*, 22*, 28*, 29*. Cf. also Ja IV 281,19*-20* (suvassa sutvāna subhāsitāni, kāhāmi puññāni anappakāni).

⁸⁰³⁶b: S^p B B^d L 1471 cāpi (see below, "Remarks on the text of the Sankhapāla Jātaka", g. 40b).

⁸¹Cf. Ja V 460,23*, 24* (na dhanassa kāranā, na puttadārassa).

⁸²³⁷d: E CSB L 1471 disā pabhāsasi, jagatī pāda (/ - ~ - ~ ×). Or to form a triṣṭubh pāda should we read m.c. disā *pabhāsi (/ - ~ - ×) (Ch. W.)? Cf. Bp and Bd, which do in fact have pabhāsi at the parallel place in the Saṅkhapāla Jātaka, g. 41d (see below, "Remarks on the text of the Saṅkhapāla Jātaka", g. 41d).

⁸³Following Alsdorf's translation (see CPD s.v. antaraṃsa-) of g. 14 of the Bhūridatta Jātaka (Alsdorf 1977, p. 47 (= Kl. Sch., p. 807)). According to PED (s.v. antaraṃsa-), "with broad breast".

⁸⁴For pāda b cf. Mvu II 187,22* (divyehi kāmehi samangibhūtaḥ)

⁸⁵³⁹a: L 1471 nāññattha; 39b: Sa L 1471 suddhi vā, Cp suddhī ca, Ca suddhī ca, Sp B suddhī va ... vā. Cf. Saṅkhapāla Ja g. 43b: C suddhi vā ... saññamo vā (Ca cty: saṃyamo), SB L 1550 suddhī va (Sa vā) ... saṃyamo vā (exactly as the cty in Sa and Ba), and Mvu II 188,2* (ms B) saṃyidyate (/-ti) *sod[h]i va saṃyamo vā (cf. Smith 1953, p. 124). For a comparison of the content, see Ja III 47,14*-15*: so hi nūna ito gantvā yoniṃ laddhāna mānusiṃ | vadaññu sīlasampanno kāhāmi kusalaṃ bahuṃ.

which are (metrically) correct. Ref Pāda c exhibits a false cadence (sovaṇṇagharāni — $1 \sim -$). Moreover, kāraya (L 1550 kāreyya, — $\sim \times$ or — $\sim \times$), taken by SC (L) into pāda c, gives the verse 14 syllables. Furthermore, rūpiyassa, now moved into first place, would have to be read as three syllables *rūpyassa (third syllable short). The construction in all cases remains obscure. Who is the subject of haritvā ... kārayal kāreyya and karontulkarotu? The only solution I can offer is Fausbøll's suggested emendation, g. 42cd: ito haritvā suvaṇṇaṃ gharāni, rūpyassa cā (sic) pākāraṃ karontu. The translation must necessarily remain uncertain.

XXVI-42 idañ ca me jātarūpam pahūtam, rāsī suvannassa ca tālamattā lito haritvā sovannagharāni, [kāraya] rūpiyassa ca pākāram karontu li Here, this is my plentiful [unworked] gold and here a pile of [worked] gold, as high as a palm tree. [This] you may (?) take with you from here and ... build [yourself] golden houses and a wall of silver.

XXXVII-43 *muttāna ca vāhasahassāni pañca, veļurⁱyamissānam ito haritvā l antepure bhūmiyam santharantu, nikkaddamā hohiti nīrajā ca ll⁸⁷ Five thousand coaches of pearls mixed with beryl you are to take from here and spread them on the floor of your palace [so that] it becomes free of dirt and dust.

Silver, gold, pearls, and jewels count as the special property of snakes (cf. Ja II 296,12*-14*: rajataṃ jātarūpañ ca muttā veļuriyā bahū \ te ca tena asantuṭṭhā bhiyyo-bhiyyo akhāṇisuṃ \\ te tatthāsīviso ghoro tejasī tejasā hani \\).88

XXVIII-44 etādisam āvasa rājaseṭṭha, vimānaseṭṭham bahu sobhamānam l bārāṇasim nagaram iddhaphītam, rajjañ ca kārehi anomapañña ll⁸⁹

O best of kings, live in such a magnificent palace, which shines brightly, [and also] in the flourishing city of Vārāṇasī. Reign [there], you who are so full of wisdom.

If this reconstruction of the "original" Campeyya Jātaka is correct, then it follows that the Campeyya Jātaka of the Mahāvastu must be directly based on the Pāli version. Various Jātakas found in the Jātaka as well as in the Mahāvastu should be studied with regard to their relationship to each other in order to lend support to the conclusion reached here.⁹⁰

Thomas Oberlies Göttingen

⁸⁶SC = E; 42a: L 1550 imañ; 42c: L 1550 haretvā, B haritvāna suvaņņa° (thus also the cty); 42cd: SC take kāraya to pāda c, L 1550 kāreyya (taken into pāda c) ... karotu, B karassu rūpiyapākaram karontu. For the correspondence of (ECSa) kāraya and (B) karassu see von Hinüber 2001, § 415, and Oberlies 2001, p. 199.

⁸⁷⁴³a: E B muttā ca, CS Cks (Fausbøll) L 1550 muttānañ ca (muttāna ———, gen. pl. in -āna); 43b: E CB SP veļuriyamissāni, Sa missānam, L 1550 vedurimissāni ito haretvā; 43d: SB L 1550 hehiti (cf. Smith 1952, p. 179 and von Hinüber 2001, §471). The frequently used Prakrit genitive plural in -āna is variously attested in Pāli too (see Oberlies 2001, p. 147). Cf. Mvu II 187,11*-14* (with emendations in pādas b (already in Charpentier 1909, p. 43) and according to Jones 1952, p. 180, notes 1f.): muktāna te vāhasatāni pamca, vaidūryamisrāna *dadāmi rājne | antaḥpure bhūmi *samāstarāhi, niṣkardamā †bheṣyati *nīrajā *ca || Senart 1890: pāda b: dadāsi; pāda c: samāstarā hi (BHS samāstarā, cf. sam-ā-stṛ Mbh, Rāmāyaṇa, Jātakamālā (pw); -āhi, instr. pl., Edgerton 1953a, §9.102; or read *samāstarehi (instr. pl. of samāstara-)?); pāda d: niṣkardamā tviṣimati nīrarāja.

⁸⁸On snakes and jewels see Gaeffke 1954.

⁸⁹⁴⁴c: SB iddham phītam.

⁹⁰Different versions of the Campeyya Jātaka in Buddhist literature are analysed by Hahn 1995.

APPENDIX

Remarks on the text of the Sankhapāla Jātaka (Fausbøll V 161-77)

- 4a: BCS vanijja, Bd L 1550 vānijjam and commentaries (vanijjan ti). vānijja(m) scans ~ ~ (i.e. it ends with a short nasal vowel).
- 4b: Hypermetric tristubh (B B^d bhojanaputte). Should we not read pathe *'ddasāsim (third syllable short) instead of pathe addasāsim, which is correct in the cadence of 39a? (O.v.H., Ch.W.)
- 7a: BCS L 1550 sakaṃ niketaṃ (\sim / \sim \sim \sim); cf. g. 47c, Ja III 349.22* and Ja IV 341.24*.
- 7c: Read with BC Sa L 1550 māmsāni (---).
- 7d: Probably *kho is to be read instead of (ES) vo (Ch.W.) or eastern (BC) ve = Skt vai (cf. Lüders 1954, § 23)) (O.v.H.).
- 10a: Read (with S) tada'ssu (B tadā'ssu, C tadassu). Cf. however, CPD s.v.
 5assu. On the particle (a)ssu cf. Kern 1909, p. 236, n. 2; Norman 1980, p. 165; Sakamoto-Goto 1989, pp. 96ff.; and Oberlies 2001, p. 53, n. 3.
- 10b: For the translation see Hinüber 1985, p. 61.
- 11c: Read (with S^p) tada 'ss' aham (B tadā 'ss' aham, C tadassaham, S tada 'ss'āham). Thus also 27b (cf. Alsdorf 1971, p. 52 = Kl. Sch., p. 409). Cf., however, CPD s.v. ⁵assu.
- 12c: Jagatī pāda (cadence: - - (cf. Smith 1949, p. 1154)).
- 13a: $agam\bar{a}si$ is to be read $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ -, otherwise syllable three long (O.v.H.)).
- 13c: BC samotataṃ jambuhi vetasāhi, L 1550 samāthitaṃ jambuhi vedisāhi, S samonataṃ (Sa saṃmonataṃ) jambuhi vedisāhi.
- 14d: hadayangamam (thus all mss) is to be read ≃ - (O.v.H.). Otherwise, all readings hadayangama- are to be read as four syllables (cf. Ja IV345.5* and 470.16*/20*). On the grounds of the ten-syllable śloka pāda (sic) hadayangam hadayanissitam (Ja IV 345.5*, 420.1*), one might suspect that perhaps an old hadangama- (cf. Skt hṛdga[ma]-) had been overlaid during the course of transmission (cf. *hadanissita, Ja III 215.3*, 390.24*, for the transmitted hadayanissita-).
- 15a: BCS L 1550 pitā aļāra (- -) (Fausbøll conjectures c' aļāra (cf. 37a and 50c)).
- 15d: $C^a S^a \bar{a} | \bar{a} ra$ (sic) passa me nivesanam, $B C^p S^p = E$.
- 17a: Against all mss with CPD (s.v. $an\bar{a}vak\bar{u}l\bar{a}$). Note that "u and \bar{u} and i and $\bar{\iota}$ can hardly be distinguished in the mss" (O.v.H.).

- 18c: All mss = E.. Hypermetric (Ch.W.). Read rajataggaļam *soņņamayam [/*sovaṇṇaṃ] uļāraṃ? Ja VI 203.8* (yūpaṃ subhaṃ soṇṇamayaṃ ulāram) indicates the former.
- 19: All mss = E (19c: L 1550 paripurī, BCS paripūrā; 19d: B^p C S^a sovaṇṇa°). What do the feminine adjectives refer to? (Fausbøll wishes to correct all to -am).
- 20: All mss = E (B \bar{a} ruhya). The verbum finitum is missing,, unless the absolutive functions as such.
- 20d: Read yatth' assa bhar yā mahesī ahosi with anapæstic scansion of mahesī (see Oberlies 2001, p. 15); cf. 23d and 26a (Ch.W.).
- 21b: Read velur yamayam (Ch.W.).
- 22a: All mss = E. Read tato mam urago $(\sim \sim [\sim \sim -])$.
- 22b: L 1550 nisīdapayī.
- 22c: Read (with B C^p S) atra bhavam (cf. CPD s.v. latra).
- 23a: L 1550 aññatarā ca.
- 23d: Read (with BCS B^d L 1550) bharⁱyā va (Dutoit V 173, n.1, already declared himself in favour of this reading (Ch.W.)).
- 24b: Read with BCS L 1550 sovannamayāya pātiyā; (jagatī pāda: cadence - -). Cf. Ja IV 18,14*: paggayha sovannamayāya pātiyā.
- 24c: Jagatī pāda (cadence: - -).
- 24d: $upan\bar{a}may\bar{i}$ is to be read $\stackrel{\checkmark}{=} \stackrel{\checkmark}{-} (O.v.H.)$. (BCS = E) bhatta scans $\stackrel{\checkmark}{-}$ (i.e. it ends with a short nasal vowel).
- 25a: $tur^{i}yehi$ is to be read $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\text{O.v.H.})$.
- 25c: Read (with BCS p (and L 1550?)) nipatī mahantam (- -).
- 26a: bhariyā scans = − (O.v.H.).
- 26b: BCSP L 1550 attamajjhā (cf. CPD s.v. atthamajjha- and Lüders 1941, p. 142, who draws attention to aṭṭhakathāyaṃ pana sumajjhā ti pāṭho in the commentary).
- 26c: Read with BS^p L 1550 $k\bar{a}mak\bar{a}r\bar{a}$ (add the entry $k\bar{a}mak\bar{a}ra$ "fulfilling the desires" in CPD; Cone includes it, but with the wrong reading $k\bar{a}mak\bar{a}ro$ of C = E).
- 27b: *uttarī* (so Alsdorf 1971, p. 52 = *Kl. Sch.*, p. 409) seems unnecessary (Ch.W.)).
- 28a: Read (with BC) adhicca-laddham (see also CPD s.v.). Item 29a.
- 28b: All mss = E. Fausbøll proposes reading $*\bar{a}du$.
- 28c: BCS B d L 1550 have nāgarāje tam attham which Alsdorf (1977, p. 39, n. 54 = Kl.Sch., p. 799, n. 54) interprets as nāgarāj' etam attham. But nāga-

 $r\bar{a}je$ may well be a vocative ending in -e (cf. Caillat 1970, pp. 18–19, and Oberlies 2001, p. 170).

29a: Hypermetric tristubh pāda (and also 29b). L 1550 omits the second na.

30a: brahmacariyam scans $- - - (= brahmacar^iyam)$. Item 33a.

30c: Cf. 28c.

31a: Jagatī pāda ([Maga]dhānam issaro (- - -)).

hypermetric; read seyyam ath' with B^p?).

Between gāthās 32 and 33 in BCS^p (not in S^a) and B^{ds} there is an additional verse \neq Vidhurapaṇḍita Jātaka 252 = 276, where 251cd = (Saṅkhapāla Jātaka) 32cd, 253ab = 33ab, 254a = 33c; cf. Alsdorf 1971, pp. 49f., 52f. (= Kl. Sch., pp. 406f., 409f.):

mālañ ca gandhañ ca vilepanañ ca | padīpiyaṃ yānam upassayañ ca | acchādanaṃ sayanam ath' annapānaṃ | sakkacca dānāni adamha tattha || B^d, B^s pacipayaṃ annapāṇam, adamma; B^p acchādanaṃ seyyam ath' annapānaṃ, sakkacca dānāni adamma tattha; C^p annapānam (pāda c is

- 34c: appānubhāvā (thus all mss) "on the basis of" (CPD s.v. differs).
- 35a: All mss anvagatam (item 36a), a transformation of an old aorist form anugam (< annaga[m] < Skt anvagāt) into a verbal adjective (other explanation in CPD s.v. anvagata: "anugata influenced by aor. anvagā", referring to udapatto (on the latter cf. Hinüber 1974, pp. 69f.)).
- 35b: BC nānvagam, S^p nānvagatam, S^a anvagatam (na is omitted), L 1550 anugatam (na is omitted here, too). CPD (s.v. anu-gacchati) proposes reading *anvagā.
- 37a: BCS L 1550 pañcadasiñ.
- 39c: siriyā ca scans <u>~</u> − ~.
- 40b: L 1550 vāpi.
- 40d: *tapo* (typographical error in E) (cf. Alsdorf 1977, p. 29, n. 20 = *Kl. Sch.*, p. 789, n. 20).
- 41d: Jagatī pāda (disā pabhāsasi - -). Or is pabhāsi to be read with B^p v.l. and B^d? (Ch.W.). Cf. also Fausbøll's C^s, which has pabhassi, and see n. 82 above.
- 42c: Cf. 28c.
- 43b: B S^p L 1550 suddhī vā ... saṃyamo vā, S^a suddhi va ... saṃyamo vā, C suddhi vā ... saññamo vā (cf. Campeyya Jātaka 39a suddhī ca ... saṃyamo ca (vv.ll. vā ... vā); see n. 85 above).
- 43d: BC S^p L 1550 jātimaraņassa, S^a jātīmaraņassa (cf. Campeyya Jātaka 39d).

44a: Jagatī pāda (- ∨ - ∨ -).

45b: CPD (s.v. upaṭṭhahati) conjectured *upatiṭṭhare.

45c: CS L 1550 kaccin nu te nābhisaṃsittha koci (Sa kacci), B kaccin nu taṃ nābhisabittha. E ex. conj. nābhisaṃsittha (see CPD s.v. abhisaṃsati).

46b: Unmetrical pativihito should probably be emended (Ch.W.).

47b: With BCS^p L 1550 dhanāharo (~ - ~ -); cf. Vidhurapaṇḍita Jātaka g. 39 maṇiṃ ... dhanāharaṃ (cf. Alsdorf 1971, p. 35 = Kl. Sch., p. 392).

47d: BCS ossajassu (Bp osajassu); cf. CPD s.v. ussaj(j)ati.

49a: Jagatī pāda (- ~ - ~ -). B dumapphalanīva. Gāthās 48ab and 49 are also found at M II 74.7-12 (all mss dumapphalāneva) and Th 787cd and 788 (all mss dumapphalānīva (cf. also Norman 1969, p. 238, ad loc.)), pādas 49ab are also found at Ja IV 495,12* (where all mss also have dumapphalān' eva).

49b: $dahar\bar{a}$ is to be read = - (O.v.H.).

50c: S tuvañ ca (ECB tavañ ca); cf. n. 78.

FAUSBØLL'S TEXT OF THE SANKHAPĀLA JĀTAKA

4a vanijja // 4b pathe addasāsim hi milācaputte // 7a sakam niketanam // 7c mamsam bhokkhāma pamodamānā // 7d mayam hi vo sattavo pannagānam // 10a tad assu // 10b yam natthuto patimokkh' assa pāse // 11c tad ass' aham // 12c dukkho hi luddehi punā samāgamo // 13a agamāsi so rahadam vippasannam // 13c samotatam jambuhi vetasāhi // 14d hadayangamam // 15a tvam me si mātā ca pitā ca alāra // 15ef pahūtabhakkham bahu-annapānam | masakkasāram viva vāsavassa // 17a anāvakulā // 18c rajataggalam sovannamayam ulāram // 19 manimayā sovannamayā ulārā | anekacittā satatam sunimittā | paripūra kaññāhi alamkatāhi | suvannakāyūradharāhi rāja // 20 so samkhapālo taramānarūpo | pāsādam āruyha anomavanno | sahassathambham atulānubhāvam | yatth' assa bhariyā mahesī ahosi // 21b veluriyamayam // 22a-c tato mam urago hatthe gahetvā | nisīdayī pamukham āsanasmim | idam āsanam atrabhavam nisīdatu // 23a aññā ca nārī taramānarūpā // 23d bhariyā ca bhattū patino piyassa // 24b-d paggayha sovannamayā pātiyā | anekasūpam vividham viyanjanam | upanāmayī bhatta manuññarūpam // 25a turiyehi // 25c tatuttarim mam nipati mahantam // 26a-c bhariyā mam' etā tisatā aļāra | sabb' atthamajjhā padumuttarābhā | aļāra etā su te kāmakāro // 27b tadass' aham uttarim paccabhāsim // 28a adhicca laddham // 28b sayamkatam udāhu devehi dinnam // 28c nāgarāja tam attham //

29ab nādhicca laddham na parināmajam me | na sayamkatam na pi devehi dinnam // 30a brahmacariyam // 30c, 42c nāgarāje tam attham // 31a rājā ahosim magadhānam issaro // 33-34 tam me vatam tam pana brahmacariyam | tassa sucinnassa ayam vipāko | ten' eva me laddham idam vimānam | pahūtabhakkham bahu-annapānam II naccehi gītehi upetarūpam I ciratthitikam na ca sassat' āyam | appānubhāvā tam mahānubhāvam | tejassinam hanti atejavanto | kim eva dāṭhāvudha kim paṭicca | hatthattham āgañchi vanibbakānam // 35ab bhayan nu te anvagatam mahantam | tejo nu te nānvagam dantamūlam // 36a na me bhayam anvagatam mahantam // 37a pannarasiñ c' alāra // 40b na āyuno cāpi alāra hetu // 40d tato // 41d gandhabbarājā va disā pabhāsasi // 43b suddhī ca samvijjati saññamo vā // 43d kāhāmi jātīmaranassa antam // 44a samvaccharo me vusito tav' antike // 45b niccānusitthā upatitthate tam // 45c kaccin nu te nābhisamsittha koci // 46b putto piyo pativihito va seyyo // 47b dhanāhāro maniratanam uļāram // 47d laddhā dhanam tam manim ussajassu // 49a dhumapphalān' eva patanti mānavā // 49b daharā ca vuddhā ca sarīrabhedā // 50c nāgañ ca sutvāna tavañ c' alāra //

ABBREVIATIONS

Editions and manuscripts:

B^p Burmese ed. of the Pāli, Chatthasangītipiṭakam (1960)

Bs Burmese ed. of the Atthavannanā, Chatthasangītipitakam (1960)

 $B = B^p + B^s$

B^d, B^s Burmese mss in Fausbøll ed.

C^p Sinhalese ed. of the Pāli, Simon Hewavitarne Bequest (1937)

Ca Sinhalese ed. of the Atthavannana, Simon Hewavitarne Bequest (1955)

 $C = C^p + C^a$

E European edition (Fausbøll)

Fausbøll = E

L 1471 a manuscript of the Campeyya Jātaka written in Northern Thai from Vat Lai Hin, Amphoe Ko Kha near Lampang, written in the year c.s. 833 = A.D. 1471

L 1550 a manuscript of the Sankhapāla Jātaka written in Northern Thai from Vat Srī Ur Mein in Dā Soy (Thā Soi), now in the Vat Lai Hin, written in C.S. 912 = A.D. 1550, in the year of the dog (pī kaḍ seṣ). 91

Siamese ed. of the Pāli, Syāmaratthassa Tepitakam (1926)

Sa Siamese ed. of the Atthavannanā, Syāmaraṭṭhassa Tepiṭakam (1927)

 $S S^p + S^a$

Vv Vimānavatthu, PTS ed.

Other abbreviations:

Ch.W. suggestions by Prof. Dr Chlodwig H. Werba

Cone Margaret Cone, A Dictionary of Pāli, Part 1.

CPD A Critical Pāli Dictionary

cty commentary

g(g). $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}(s)$

jag. jagatī

Kl. Sch. Kleine Schriften, J.v. Glasenapp-stiftung

O.v.H. suggestions by Prof. Dr. Oskar von Hinüber

PED The Pali Text Society's Pali-English Dictionary

tr. tristubh

⁹¹I am grateful to Prof. von Hinüber for this reference (see also Hinüber 1988,p. 14 with n. 48 (on the allocation of sigla)).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Alsdorf, L. 1957a. "The Story of Citta and Sambhūta", Felicitation Volume Presented to Prof. S.K. Belvalkar. Benares, pp. 202–208. (Kleine Schriften, Wiesbaden, 1974, pp. 186–92.)
- ———. 1957b. "Bemerkungen zum Vessantara-Jātaka", WZKSO 1, pp. 1–70. (Kleine Schriften, Wiesbaden, 1974, pp. 270–339.)
- ——. 1968a. "Die Āryā-Strophen des Pali-Kanons", *AWLM*, no. 4 (1967) (Wiesbaden, 1968).
- ——. 1968b. "Das Sivijātaka (499): Ein Beitrag zu seiner Textgeschichte", Pratidānam (Indian, Iranian and Indo-European Studies Presented to F.B.J. Kuiper). The Hague, pp. 478-83. (Kleine Schriften, Wiesbaden, 1974, pp. 364-69.)
- ——. 1971. "Das Jātaka vom weisen Vidhura", WZKS 15, pp. 23–56. (Kleine Schriften, Wiesbaden, 1974, pp. 380–413.)
- ——. 1977. "Das Bhūridatta-Jātaka: Ein anti-brahmanischer Nāga-Roman". WZKS 21, pp. 25–55. (Kleine Schriften, Stuttgart, 1998, pp. 785–815.)
- Bollée, W.B. 1970. *Kuṇālajātaka*: *Being an Edition and Translation*. Sacred Books of the Buddhists, Vol. XXVI. London.
- Caillat, C. 1970. *Pour une nouvelle grammaire du Pāli*. Istituto di Indologia della Università di Torino, Conferenze IV. Torino.
- ———. 1980. "La langue primitive du bouddhisme", in H. Bechert, ed., *Die Sprache der ältesten buddhistischen Überlieferung*, pp. 43–60. Göttingen.
- Charpentier, J. 1909. "Textstudien zum Mahāvastu", *Le Monde Oriental* 3, pp. 34-69.
- Cone, M., and R.F. Gombrich. 1977. The Perfect Generosity of Prince Vessantara: A Buddhist Epic. Oxford.
- Dutoit, J. 1913. Jātakam, das Buch der Erzählungen aus früheren Existenzen Buddhas. Vol. V. Lepizig.
- Edgerton, F. [1953]. *Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit*, Vol. II, *Dictionary*. New Haven, 1953 (reprint: Delhi, 1977).
- ——. 1953. Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit, Vol. I, Grammar. New Haven, 1953. (reprint: Delhi, 1977).
- Gaeffke, P. 1954. "The Snake-Jewel in Ancient Indian Literature". *IL* 14, pp. 581-94.
- Geiger, W. [1916]. Pali Literatur und Sprache. Strassburg, 1916.
- Grünwedel, A. 1897. *Buddhistische Studien*. Berlin (Veröffentlichungen aus dem Königlichen Museum für Völkerkunde, V. Band).
- Hahn, M. 1995. "Der duldsame Nāgakönig: Gopadattas *Nāgajātaka*", *BIS* 8, pp. 87–135.

- Hinüber, O. von. 1974. "Reste des reduplizierten Aorists im Pali", MSS 32, pp. 64-72.
- ——. 1983. "Rez.: Die Sprache der ältesten buddhistischen Überlieferung", H. Bechert, ed. (Göttingen 1980), *IF* 88, pp. 307–12.
- ——. 1985. "Die Bestimmung der Schulzugehörigkeit buddhistischer Texte nach sprachlichen Kriterien", Zur Schulzugehörigkeit von Werken der Hīnayāna-Literatur, H. Bechert, ed. Göttingen, pp. 57-75.
- ——. 1988. "Die Sprachgeschichte des Pāli im Spiegel der südostasiatischen Handschriftenüberlieferung", *AWLM* no. 8 (Wiesbaden).
- ——. 2001. "Das ältere Mittelindisch im Überblick", *SbÖAW* (Veröffentlichungen der Kommission für Sprachen und Kulturen Südasiens, Heft 20).
- Jones, J.J., tr. 1952. *The Mahāvastu*, Vol. II. Sacred Books of the Buddhists, Vol. XVIII. London.
- Kern, H. 1891. The Jātaka-Mālā or Bodhisattvāvadāna-Mālā by Ārya-ĩūra. HOS I, London.
- ______. 1909. "Das Verbum āyūhati im Pāli", IF 25, pp. 234–38.
- Leslie, J. 1998. "A Bird Bereaved: The Identity and Significance of Vālmīki's *krauñca*", *JIP* 26, pp. 455–87.
- Leumann, E., and S. Watanabe. 1970. "Mahāvastu II, pp. 83–121, translated by Ernst Leumann and Shoko Watanabe". *Acta Indologica* I, pp. 65–108.
- Lüders, H. 1897. "Die Sage von Rsyasringa", Nachrichten der Kgl. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Phil.-hist. Klasse, pp. 87-135. (Philologica Indica, pp. 1-42).
- -----. 1921. Buddhistische Märchen. Jena.
- ——. 1941. Bhārhut und die buddhistische Literatur. AKM XXVI,3 (reprint: Nendeln, 1966).
- —. 1954. Beobachtungen über die Sprache des buddhistischen Urkanons. Berlin. (Abhandlungen der Deutschen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Klasse für Sprachen, Literatur und Kunst, 1952, no. 10.)
- Malalasekera, G.P. 1937. Dictionary of Pāli Proper Names. PTS.
- Mehendale, M.A.. 1970. "On the Name and Gāthā 12 of the Takkāriyajātaka" in Seminar on Prakrit Studies (June 23–27, 1969), Poona, pp. 125–130.
- Norman, K.R. 1969. The Elders' Verses, Vol. I.
- ——. 1980. "Notes on the Vessantarajātaka" in Studien zum Jainismus und Buddhismus (Gedenkschrift für Ludwig Alsdorf), K. Bruhn and A. Wezler, eds., Wiesbaden, pp. 163–74.
- Oberlies, Th. 2001. Pāli: A Grammar of the Language of the Theravāda Tipitaka. Berlin.

- Oldenberg, H. 1918. "Jātakastudien", Nachrichten der Kgl. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Phil.-hist. Klasse, pp. 429-68 (Kleine Schriften, Wiesbaden, 1967, pp. 1069-108).
- Pischel, Richard. 1900. Grammatik der Prakrit-Sprachen. Strassburg.
- Regamey, C. 1954. "Randbemerkungen zur Sprache und Textüberlieferung des Kāraṇḍavyūha", *Asiatica* (Festschrift Fr. Weller), Leipzig, pp. 514–27.
- Sakamoto-Goto, J. 1984. "Das Udayajātaka", WZKS 28, pp. 45-66.
- ------. 1989. "Dṛś et paś en Pāli", Dialectes dans les littératures indoaryennes, C. Caillat, ed. Paris, pp. 393-411.
- Senart, E. [1890]. Le Mahāvastu, Vol. II. Paris (reprint: Tokyo, 1977).
- Smith, H. 1949. *Saddanīti*, Vol. IV, Tables, Part I, E. Conspectus terminorum (metricorum). Lund, pp. 1105–72.
- -----. 1950. Les deux prosodies du vers bouddhique. Lund (K. Humanistika Vetenskapssamfundets i Lund Årsberättelse, 1949–1950, I).
- ——. 1952. "Le Futur moyen indien et ses rythmes", JA 240, pp. 169–83.
- ——. 1953. "En marge du vocabulaire sanskrit des bouddhistes, I", OS 2, pp. 119–28.
- Speyer, J.S., trans. 1895. The Jātakamālā: Garland of Birth Stories of Āryaśūra. London (reprint: Delhi, 1971).
- Trenckner, V. 1879. Pali Miscellany. London (= JPTS 1908, pp. 102-51).
- Vogel, J.P. 1926. Indian Serpent-Lore. London (reprint: Benares, 1972).
- Wackernagel, J. 1937. "Altindische und mittelindische Miszellen", BSOS 8 (1935–1937, Festschrift Sir George Grierson), pp. 823–34 (Kleine Schriften, Göttingen, 1929, pp. 405–16).

The Colophons of Burmese Manuscripts

1. Looking through editions of the texts of Buddhist scriptures in Pāli and through catalogues of manuscripts from Theravāda Buddhist countries, it appears that, as a rule, the final remarks in Burmese manuscripts are not mentioned. They are not found in descriptions of manuscripts given in editions of the texts or included in the entries for a codex in catalogues of manuscripts. This can be ascribed both to the editors' and revisers' insufficient knowledge of the Burmese language and to their reluctance to invest too much time and effort in the elucidation of passages forming no part of the text at the end of manuscripts along with final remarks which can be understood as colophons in the stricter sense. Usually, there is merely a note of the formal data, and the title and date of completion of writing.

The three volumes of the catalogue *Burmese Manuscripts*¹ contain detailed descriptions of manuscripts in German libraries. Thanks to the ruling that the beginning and end of manuscripts are to be reproduced in

First published in German in *Untersuchungen zur buddhistischen Literatur*, Zweite Folge, Heinz Bechert, Sven Bretfeld, Petra Kieffer-Pülz, eds. Sanskrit-Wörterbuch der buddhistischen Texte aus den Turfan-Funden, Beiheft 8. (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1997), pp. 35–39. Translated by Marianne Rankin.

Burmese Manuscripts (Bur. MSS), Part I, compiled by Heinz Bechert, Daw Tin Tin Myint, Daw Khin Khin Su (Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner, 1979); Part II, Catalogue numbers 156–431, compiled by Heinz Braun, Daw Tin Tin Myint, with an introduction by Heinz Bechert (Stuttgart: Franz Steiner, 1985); Part III, Catalogue numbers 432–735, Heinz Braun, compiler, assisted by Anne Peters; Heinz Bechert, ed. (Stuttgart: Franz Steiner, 1996). This essay is based entirely on the material in these volumes of this catalogue of manuscripts. The reader is therefore requested to consult the introduction to Part I for further information. As regards the abbreviations used here, see the List of Abbreviations in Part 3. [Since this article appeared, Part IV, Catalogue numbers 736–900, has been published: Anne Peters, compiler; Heinz Bechert, ed. (Stuttgart: Franz Steiner, 2000).]